Loss Aversion on Consumer Purchase Decisions and Investment Behaviors

Jiaze Xu *

Department of Economics, Durham University, Durham, DH1, England

* Corresponding Author Email: kmtd54@durham.ac.uk

Abstract. Loss aversion is an important concept in behavioral economics. This research will first introduce the basic information of loss aversion and then explore the impact of it on consumer purchase decisions and investment behaviors. As for the consumer choices, price sensitivity, brand loyalty, purchase timing, and post-purchase regret are all significantly influenced by loss aversion. While in investment behaviors, loss aversion leads to conventional asset allocation, inadequate trading strategies and anxiety-driven market fluctuations. The study examines how loss aversion affects both consumers and investors on financial decision-making based on previous academic achievements. Furthermore, the study offers some suggestions to improve the adverse effects caused by loss aversion, emphasizing the need for better financial education and strategic behavioral intervention. Although loss aversion inevitably influences people's choices in life, understanding its mechanism and influence can still help people and organizations make better financial decisions, enhance economic results, and stabilize the market.

Keywords: Behavioral economics, loss aversion, consumption, investment.

1. Introduction

Loss aversion is a vital principle in behavioral economics. It describes how potential losses are weighed more heavily than comparable profits. This psychological bias, which Kahneman and Tversky introduced as part of the Prospect Theory, significantly affects financial decision-making [1]. Loss aversion is visible in consumer behaviour and investment choices, as well as how people respond to price shifts, allocate assets, and respond to economic confusion.

In customer option-making, loss aversion affects price sensitivity, brand loyalty, purchasing behaviour, and post-get regret. As Kahneman and Tversky famously stated, 'the disutility of giving up an object is greater than the utility associated with acquiring it [1]. Because consumers are more likely to react negatively to price increases than favorably to price reductions, companies are urged to create marketing strategies that make the most of this bias.

In investment behaviour, loss aversion aspects of asset allocation, trading patterns, market stability, and investment regret. Investors frequently opt for low-risk assets over high-return possibilities. According to Benartzi and Thaler, 'investors display myopic loss aversion, leading them to overemphasize short-term losses while neglecting long-term returns [2]. This is similar to the disposition effect, which further demonstrates the negative effects of loss aversion. Specifically, during financial crises, reduction-anxious investors may engage in panic selling, growing market downturns and delaying economic recovery [3].

This article examines the effects and importance of loss aversion on consumer buying and investment choices. Understanding how loss aversion affects financial habits helps businesses, financial institutions, and politicians develop countermeasures to counteract its harmful effects and promote more natural decision-making.

2. Understanding Loss Aversion

2.1. Concept of Loss Aversion

Loss aversion is a psychological phenomenon in which individuals would rather prevent costs than get similar benefits. Kahneman and Tversky first suggested this idea. To explain how people make choices under uncertainty, they created The Prospect Theory. Costs have a greater mental impact than similar gains, according to the theory [1]. For example, dropping $ 100 triggers a stronger emotional reaction than earning $ 100. The disparity in people's perceptions of gains and losses affects decision-making in a variety of economic and social settings.

Loss aversion is widely recognized in a variety of daily activities, including interpersonal relationships, financial decisions, and consumer behavior. People frequently choose familiar brands over new ones when making consumer choices because they fear switching will lead to dissatisfaction or poor product quality [3]. Similar to the disposition effect, where investors tend to sell profitable assets too early while holding onto losing ones for a long time. Customers often make purchases in vain because they fear they'll regret skipping a lower price in the future.

Loss aversion also plays a role in social and profession- related decisions. For example, people may minimize opportunities that carry the risk of failure or rejection, yet, when possible, rewards outweigh the risks. These trends demonstrate how loss aversion affects decision-making across a range of industries, frequently putting people at odds with achieving gains over avoiding loss.

2.2. Characteristics of Loss Aversion

Research dependence is one of the key characteristics of loss aversion. Instead of comparing outcomes to utter values, individuals evaluate outcomes in relation to particular research points. Customers will benefit from a $ 50 discount if the regular price is $ 200, for instance. If the item was originally offered with a$ 70 reductions, the current price may be seen as a loss.

Loss aversion also has an imbalance of pricing, where losses are valued more highly than benefits. Participants responded more emotionally and analytically to potential losses than to equivalent gains, according to Yechiam and Hochman [4]. This higher level of sensitivity to loss, especially in high-risk situations like large economic transactions, has a significant impact on decision-making.

Decision gravity is another characteristic found in economic behavior. People frequently make the same choices instead of looking into alternatives to avoid possible losses brought on by shift. Consumer behavior demonstrates this pattern, which makes people stick with well-known companies yet when competing products have better functions or lower prices. Loss aversion is a key factor in brand loyalty and resistance to change because of the fear of making a decision that causes dread or discontent.

2.3. Causes of Loss Aversion

Diverse elements contribute to loss aversion. First, there are significant differences between people, including age, personality, and mental prejudices. According to Sokol-Hessner et al., people who are better at controlling their emotions are less likely to avoid losses [5]. Secondly, loss aversion may be influenced by environmental factors, such as the decision-making model. When a choice is presented as a potential loss rather than a potential gain, for instance, people tend to be more liberal [5]. Additionally, a person's level of loss aversion does depend on their ethnic history. Some studies found that, due to the emphasis on risk aversion in totalitarian nations, people may be more loss-averse [2]. Finally, it is important to gain knowledge and experience. In the future, investors who have suffered significant losses might make more prudent decisions [2].

3. The Impact of Loss Aversion on Consumer Purchase Choices

3.1. Price Sensitivity

According to loss aversion, customers are extremely sensitive to price changes. Consumers interpret a price increase as a loss and a price decrease as a gain, say Nasiry and Popescu [6]. The psychological impact on customers is greater in the previous scenario when prices fluctuate at the same rate. For instance, when a company's value rises from$ 100 to$ 120, the perceived decline typically outweighs the perceived gain. Marketing professionals frequently make use of loss aversion to boost sales by implementing limited-time discounts or flash sales that cause consumers ' fear of missing out (FOMO).

Cost anchoring is also affected significantly by loss aversion. When making purchases, buyers frequently rely on research prices. Consumers perceive a gain and are more willing to purchase, for instance, if a product is initially priced at$ 500 before being marked down to$ 300. Future rate increases may be seen as losses, which would reduce following payments if the product is later reduced to$ 250. Marketing can create campaigns that both reduce the negative effects of upcoming price changes and maintain a sense of significance as a result. Research has also shown that various item groups exhibit different ranges of price sensitivity brought on by loss aversion. When confronted with price adjustments, customers react more negatively to necessities like groceries than luxury or discretionary items [6]. This variant suggests that businesses should take into account product types when formulating sales tactics to minimize the effect of loss aversion.

3.2. Brand Loyalty

Loss aversion is frequently the driving force behind brand loyalty. Consumers are more likely to stick to well-known businesses to protect themselves from the temptation to experiment with new choices. Consumers frequently rely on preconceived notions when determining prices and product quality, according to De Maeyer and Estelami [7]. The peak-end rule also advises people to recall the most powerful experiences and the most recent ones. They will be able to vividly remember undesirable results as a result. As a result, switching brands and experiencing possible dissatisfaction outweigh the possible gain of finding a better option.

Additionally, loss aversion can lead to a" status quo bias," as opposed to "alternatives" [8]. Despite compelling evidence of better choices, consumers continue to pick the exact brand or product. Companies profit from this desire by promoting loyalty programs and stressing the dangers of competing with one another.

3.3. Purchase Timing

Users ' buying decisions are influenced by loss aversion. According to Lin, consumers make their purchases in advance to prevent future losses when they anticipate price increases [9]. This pattern is most apparent during promotions for vacations like ‘Black Friday.’ Customers are rushing to purchase items at discounted prices on" Black Friday" to protect themselves from higher prices in the future.

It might also have an impact on how much time is spent. Consumer responses will be more persuasive if a promotion is defined as a potential loss (for instance, ‘Save $50 by purchasing today’ rather than ‘Get a $50 discount’). When an emergency is identified, a loss-avoiding response is developed to encourage prompt action. Additionally, psychological research indicates that consumers are more likely to purchase products if inventory alerts are set because they do not take into account possible costs.

Price determination may affect purchase decisions as well as product information and marketing knowledge. According to research, loss-focused messages like ‘Do not miss out on this deal!’ and ‘Do a lot of work now!’ are frequently more effective at influencing immediate purchases than gain-oriented messages [9]. In customer advertising, the significance of language frame is highlighted by this result.

3.4. Post-Purchase

Loss aversion also influences how customers feel after making a purchase. Fear of making a bad decision, especially when better offers are available, is the main cause of post-purchase regret. Customers who experience regret are less likely to go back to the same retailer, according to Yechiam and Hochman [4]. Businesses can provide price protection programs and satisfaction assures to counteract this trend.

Consumers even express anticipatory regret. The charm of expanded warranties and insurance plans is clarified by this. Consumers does feel protected from potential loss by paying a premium right away, even though the objective danger of loss is minimal.

Additionally, loss aversion is not the only factor that influences post-purchase behavior. Consumers are less likely to return to a product if they experience unplanned costs, such as hidden fees, according to study [10]. This study emphasizes the crucial role of transparent pricing in maintaining client trust [10].

4. The Impact of Loss Aversion on Investment Choices

4.1. Asset Allocation

Loss-averse owners typically favor low-risk investments. Instead of shares, they prefer savings accounts or bonds. According to Benartzi and Thaler, investors place an excessive emphasis on short-term losses [2]. They are avoiding higher-yield opportunities because of this. Their portfolios turn out to be very liberal as a result. Because people avoid investing in property with potential higher development costs, this mindful approach may result in lower long-term financial returns.

Also, portfolio diversification is impacted by loss aversion. Due to the risk of short-term effects, some investors steer clear of investing in stocks. Varied assets tend to perform better over time, though. This trend can reduce personal expenditure from keeping pace with inflation, lowering wealth accumulation. Another place impacted by loss aversion is retirement planning. Some people invest too much of their benefits in low-yielding investments because they are concerned about possible losses in securities. They may struggle to create sufficient pension money as a result. Some people may need to postpone or make significant changes to their way of life after pensions [2].

4.2. Trading Behavior

Loss aversion causes investors to act impulsively [11]. Some people refuse to sell winning stocks to quickly while selling losing ones. The disposition effect is present. This pattern is exacerbated by the emotional discomfort of losing oneself. Because it feels like loss, owners steer clear of selling at a loss. The disposition effect outcomes in inefficient trading strategies. Market signals are frequently ignored by buyers. Without gaining any more money, they sell successful stocks very quickly. According to research, this behavior frequently results in lower overall returns, and portfolios become overly focused on underperforming assets, increasing financial risk [11].

4.3. Financial Crises and Market Responses

Loss aversion may improve market volatility, especially during economic downturns. When investors fear further losses, they may engage in panic selling, leading to sudden and severe market declines [3]. This response can lead to self-reinforcing processes. As more and more individuals sell, charges keep dropping. The 2008 financial crisis is a prime example of how loss aversion influences business behavior. At their worst, some traders sold their stocks. Instead of looking for treatment, they locked in significant costs [2, 3]. According to research, investors who kept their purchases received better long-term results [11].

Loss aversion even stops traders from entering the market. Even when the business is improving, investors who have suffered significant losses may be reluctant to re-enter the business. They are afraid to repeat the errors they made in the past, which is why [3]. In consequence, they lose out on potential profits.

Loss aversion can also be experienced by institutional investors. Client withdrawals are feared by finance managers as a result of short-term losses. They make wise purchase decisions to prevent this. They emphasize preventing costs more than achieving long-term growth. This creates herd behaviour, where organizations all proceed toward safer property. This could worsen business declines [8].

4.4. Investment Regret

Investors frequently regret making previous selections. When people compare actual loss to what might have been, post-investment regret occurs [12]. Counterfactual thinking may result from trading shares at a loss. Investors ponder whether making a unique choice would have prevented the damage [13]. They may be hesitant to make purchase decisions in the future because they may be afraid of repeating mistakes made in the past.

Anticipatory regret is another popular occurrence. Investors are reluctant to make investment decisions because they do not want to later regret their choice [11]. In dangerous industry, it frequently occurs. When things are uncertain, loss-averse persons are more hesitant to take possibilities. They may put off making important financial decisions and miss out on opportunities perhaps when scientific logic indicates a high likelihood of success.

Additionally, unanticipated fees and hidden costs may make people lament their decisions and deter them from participating in financial industry. Investors are irritated by unexpected costs or higher transaction costs [10]. They might not want to invest in the coming when they are deceived. Financial transparency, according to studies, you ease this problem. However, loss aversion remains a significant roadblock to maximum investment behaviour.

5. Discussion and Suggestion

5.1. Key Findings from the Literature

This study highlights the significant effect of loss aversion on consumer purchase decisions and investment behaviors. Price sensitivity, brand loyalty, purchase timing, and post-purchase regret are all affected by loss aversion in buyer decision-making. Customers are more open to price increases than similar price cuts, encouraging businesses to create marketing techniques that use this bias. Additionally, many people avoid potential dissatisfaction by purchasing well-known businesses out of concern about future price increases. Also, post-purchase regret deters clients from purchasing specific items, which affects long-term producer relationships.

In investment choices, loss aversion aspects asset allocation, trading behaviour, market reactions, and investment regret. Investors are more likely to prefer low-risk investments, which often leads to myopic loss aversion, increased emphasis on short-term costs, and overly conventional portfolio strategies. The disposition effect further aggravates limited purchasing behaviors as investors hold onto shedding businesses long before selling and losing businesses. Second, post-crisis hesitating prevents opportunities and prevents financial recovery, while market declines often lead to panic selling, which strengthens financial crises. These changes demonstrate how much of an industry's financial decision-making is influenced by loss aversion.

5.2. Recommendations for improvement

Studying loss aversion helps companies, financial institutions, and officials get valuable insight.

Companies do professional sales and promotional strategies by knowing how loss aversion influences consumer behaviour. For instance, defining discounts as avoided losses as opposed to potential gains can encourage more purchases. Retailers can also increase the intensity of choice-making by using shoddy tactics like limited property warnings to increase the fear of missing out (FOMO). Furthermore, companies use customer retention plans, highlighting the possible loss of rewards if consumers switch models or remove membership.

Financial counselors can teach clients about the long-term benefits of diversified portfolios to lessen the negative consequences of loss aversion. By urging consumers to emphasis cumulative long-term returns more than short-term fluctuations, helping them to lessen their anxiety-driven options. Moreover, robot-advisors and integrated expense platforms assist investors in avoiding spontaneous responses to market downturns by promoting social, pre-planned investment strategies.

Additionally, procedures can be implemented to stop panic-driven business habits. By developing financial literacy programs, consumers can learn more about risk and return trade-offs. Regulations can also promote transparency in investment products to stop people from investing in financial markets and reduce expected costs and hidden fees. What’s more, financial institutions and central banks may offer restoration strategies to reduce stress selling-induced increased market volatility.

6. Conclusion

In summary, loss aversion is important in consumer behaviour and investment behaviors. People's natural desire to promote avoiding decline over gaining benefits is reflected in price, business and financial choices. As a result, businesses can benefit from this prejudice using promotions and discounts. Meanwhile, loss aversion fosters brand loyalty and customer stress because people are afraid to make a choice that could keep them feeling dreadful. Furthermore, repenting following a purchase prevents consumers from making repeat purchases in the future.

Loss aversion in funding decisions results in unreasonable asset allocation, limited techniques and expanded market volatility. Some owners prevent purchasing premium alternatives because they place too much emphasis on short-term losses This style reinforces the disposition effect, which causes investors to hang onto less-performing businesses and offer less-profitable people. During uncertain economic times, loss aversion can cause panic selling, accelerate company downturns and prolong financial crises. Positive tactics may also be adopted by operational investors, increasing herd mentality in the financial sector.

Investors, politicians, and firms may comprehend loss aversion and act accordingly. Businesses can develop marketing and sales tactics that uphold client values while upholding spiritual principles. Financial advisors and investment firms can educate investors on the advantages of long-term diversification, which reduces risk-averse behaviors that lead to bad investment choices. Policymakers can implement financial education programs and laws to encourage moral choice-making and efficient stability.

References

[1] Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47 (2), 263 - 291.

[2] Benartzi, S., & Thaler, R. H. (1995). Myopic loss aversion and the equity premium puzzle. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110 (1), 73 - 92.

[3] Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1991). Loss aversion in riskless choice: A reference-dependent model. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 106 (4), 1039 - 1061.

[4] Yechiam, E., & Hochman, G. (2013). Losses as modulators of attention: Review and analysis of the unique effects of losses over gains. Psychological Bulletin, 139 (2), 497 - 518.

[5] Sokol-Hessner, P., Camerer, C. F., & Phelps, E. A. (2013). Emotion regulation reduces loss aversion and decreases amygdala responses to losses. Nature Neuroscience, 16 (12), 1639 - 1645.

[6] Nasiry, J., & Popescu, I. (2011). Dynamic pricing with loss-averse consumers and peak-end anchoring. Operations Research, 59 (6), 1361 - 1368.

[7] De Maeyer, P., & Estelami, H. (2013). Applying the peak-end rule to reference prices. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 22 (2), 114 - 121.

[8] Samuelson, W., & Zeckhauser, R. (1988). Status quo bias in decision making. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, 1 (1), 7 - 59.

[9] Lin, S. (2021). Buy it now or later, or not: Loss aversion in advance purchasing. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2110.14929.

[10] Xia, L., & Monroe, K. B. (2004). Price fairness perceptions: An integrative review. Journal of Business Research, 57 (8), 993 - 1003.

[11] Barberis, N., & Huang, M. (2001). Mental accounting, loss aversion, and individual stock returns. The Journal of Finance, 56 (4), 1247 - 1292.

[12] Loomes, G., & Sugden, R. (1982). Regret theory: An alternative theory of rational choice under uncertainty. The Economic Journal, 92 (368), 805 - 824.

[13] Zeelenberg, M., & Pieters, R. (2004). Consequences of regret aversion in real life: The case of the Dutch postcode lottery. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 93 (2), 155 - 168.